

Communication

Photoresponse of p-Type Zinc-Doped Iron(III) Oxide Thin Films

Ingler, John P. Baltrus, and Shahed U. M. Khan

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126 (33), 10238-10239• DOI: 10.1021/ja048461y • Publication Date (Web): 30 July 2004

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on April 1, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 3 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- · Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 07/30/2004

Photoresponse of p-Type Zinc-Doped Iron(III) Oxide Thin Films

William B. Ingler Jr.,[‡] John P. Baltrus,[†] and Shahed U. M. Khan^{*,‡}

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Bayer School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, 600 Forbes Avenue, Duquesne University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15282, and National Energy Technology Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, P.O. Box 10940, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 12536-0940

Received March 17, 2004; E-mail: khan@duq.edu

It is still important to search for semiconductor photocatalysts that can be used in a photoelectrochemical cell to split water to hydrogen and oxygen. To that end, a stable and low-cost semiconductor that is able to absorb a large amount of solar photons while having a low band gap needs to be synthesized. Most stable semiconductors absorb almost exclusively in the ultraviolet radiation range.¹ Iron(III) oxide (Fe₂O₃) is a low-cost semiconductor having high stability that has a band gap of 2.0 to 2.2 eV. It can absorb all UV light and most of the visible light of solar radiation from 295 to 565 nm, which comprises 38% of the photons of sunlight at air mass (AM) 1.5.² Even though the band gap of Fe₂O₃ is suitable to allow absorption of about 38% of sunlight, its photoresponse is quite low, mainly due to its high resistivity and consequent recombination of photogenerated carriers. To minimize these limitations, n-type iron(III) oxide has been doped with an iodine dopant³ and some transition metal dopants,⁴ but the effects of zinc metal dopant have not been studied for the formation of p-type iron(III) oxide thin films.

The goal of this work is to synthesize nanocrystalline p-Fe₂O₃ films and dope them with zinc using a spray pyrolytic deposition (SPD) method in order to determine their photoresponse toward photoelectrochemical water splitting.⁵ The photoresponse of zincdoped p-Fe₂O₃ was found to be much higher than those of magnesium-doped p-Fe₂O₃ thin films synthesized in prior work.⁶ In this Communication, the effects of dopant concentrations and spray times are examined.

The spray solution for the SPD synthesis of zinc-doped p-Fe₂O₃ was made using iron(III) chloride hexahydrate, FeCl₃·6H₂O (Acros Organics), and zinc nitrate hexahydrate, Zn(NO₃)₂•6H₂O (Aldrich), in 100% ethanol (Pharmco Products Inc., 200 proof). The concentration of the FeCl₃·6H₂O spray solution was kept at 0.11 M, which was found to be the optimum in prior studies.⁵

The concentration of the Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O was varied from 0.0077 to 0.011 M and the substrate temperature was varied between 663 and 668 K for the SPD of p-Fe₂O₃ thin-film electrodes. Indiumdoped conducting tin oxide-coated glass (Swift Glass Co.) was used as the substrate.

One of the major factors influencing the optimization of $p-Fe_2O_3$ was the zinc dopant concentration. It was observed that photocurrent density increased up to 0.0088 M Zn²⁺ concentration and then started to decline when the dopant concentration was increased further. From 0.0088 to 0.011 M Zn²⁺ solution, there was a slow but steady decline of photocurrent density. This behavior (between 0.0088 and 0.011 M Zn2+ solution) was observed when all other parameters were kept constant. For example, slight variations from the optimum substrate temperature of 663 K lowered the photocurrent density in this region.





Figure 1. Cathodic current density at p-Fe₂O₃ under illumination with a light intensity of 40 mW/cm² from a 150 W xenon arc lamp vs a platinum electrode in a two-electrode configuration. Measurements were done in 0.5 M H_2SO_4 solution. The open-circuit potential was found to be -0.25 V/Pt.



Figure 2. Quantum efficiency, $\eta(\lambda)$, versus the wavelength, λ , of light for zinc-doped p-Fe₂O₃, measured at a potential of +0.0 V/SCE using a Kratos model GM 100 monochromator with a 1.4 mm slit width. The electrolyte solution was 0.5 M H₂SO₄, and the total light intensity was 40 mW/cm².

Figure 1 shows the highest current density of 1.1 mA/cm² under illumination for optimized zinc-doped p-Fe₂O₃. The increase in photocurrent density with an increase of cathodic bias in Figure 1 indicates the p-type conductivity of Zn-doped Fe₂O₃ films. The photocurrent was measured in a two-electrode system with platinum as the counter electrode. Beyond a cathodic bias of -0.8 V/Pt, the dark current density and the current density under illumination were found to be identical due to degradation of p-Fe₂O₃. Hence, no limiting region was observed. The zinc-doped p-Fe₂O₃ showed a maximum quantum efficiency of 21.1% at 325 nm and the threshold was observed at 590 nm, which corresponds to a band gap of 2.1 eV (Figure 2). However, in an earlier study, a Mg-doped p-Fe₂O₃ electrode showed a maximum quantum efficiency of 3.0% at 380 nm and a threshold was observed at \sim 515 nm.^{6b}

The optimum conditions for the synthesis of Zn-doped p-Fe₂O₃ were found to be a total spray time of 70 s, a spray solution concentration of 0.11 M FeCl3•6H2O and 0.0088 M Zn(NO3)2•6H2O in 100% ethanol, and a substrate temperature of 663 K. The electrolyte solution was 0.5 M H₂SO₄. In this study a 150 W xenon arc lamp (Kratos model LH 150/1) was used with a light intensity of 40.0 mW/cm². A "hot" mirror from Edmund Electronics was used to reduce the excess IR and UV radiations (which are not



Figure 3. X-ray diffraction (XRD) plots of p-type iron(III) oxide (p-Fe₂O₃) thin film synthesized using 0.11 M Fe^{3+} and 0.0088 M Zn^{2+} solution as a dopant. The peaks in the plots were identified as α -Fe₂O₃ (a), cubic In₂O₃ (b), and zinc(II) iron(III) oxide, ZnFe₂O₄ (c).

present in AM 1.5 solar radiation) from the xenon arc lamp. The zinc-doped p-Fe₂O₃ was found to be a direct band gap semiconductor, having a band gap of 2.2 eV, which is in agreement with the known indirect band gap of Fe₂O₃. Thus, zinc converted the indirect band gap Fe₂O₃ to a direct band gap semiconductor due to formation of ZnFe₂O₄.9a

Mott-Schottky data showed a flatband potential of 0.0 V (vs SCE) at an ac frequency of 2500 Hz. Acceptor density calculated from the slope of the Mott–Schottky plot was found to be 4.4 \times 10¹⁸ cm⁻³. However, the acceptor density of magnesium-doped p-Fe₂O₃ was found to be 5 \times 10¹⁶ cm⁻³, measured at an ac frequency of 1500 Hz in 0.01 N NaOH.7 The higher acceptor densities of spray pyrolytically synthesized zinc-doped p-Fe₂O₃ films in this work may be responsible for the significantly higher photocurrent densities because they helped to reduce the resistivity of the film.

The presence of zinc and zinc(II) iron(III) oxides were confirmed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements. XPS results showed 4 at. % zinc-doping relative to other elements present on the surface. XRD data, shown in Figure 3, indicate that α -Fe₂O₃ is the only form of iron oxide present in the thin films. Indium oxide from the indium-doped tin oxide substrate was identified. Analysis of XRD confirms that zinc dopant did not exist as solid zinc or zinc oxide. All zinc was identified in the form of ZnFe₂O₄.8 These peaks indicate that spray pyrolytically synthesized p-Fe₂O₃ has mixed structures of α-iron-(III) oxide and zinc(II) iron(III) oxide (ZnFe₂O₄). Pure Fe₂O₃ lacks adequate conductivity to be an effective semiconductor, whereas the presence of zinc in the form of ZnFe₂O₄ was found to show improved magnetic and conductive properties,⁹ making it a suitable match for Fe_2O_3 when introduced in optimum ratios. Pure α -state iron(III) oxide was found to be unstable in acidic solution.¹⁰ On the other hand, these Zn-doped iron oxide electrodes showed no obvious signs of deterioration in an acidic solution; however, extended runs to specifically examine the stability of these electrodes were not performed.

It is important to note that this work shows, for the first time, the fabrication of a p-Fe₂O₃ thin-film electrode using spray pyrolytic deposition with optimum zinc doping. The results of this study indicate the possibility of using other dopants or combinations of those dopants to improve the photoresponse of p-Fe₂O₃ for use in conjunction with an n-Fe₂O₃ thin-film electrode to fabricate a p/n-Fe₂O₃ solar cell and use it for efficient photoelectrochemical water splitting.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Duquesne University Faculty Development Fund and NETL/DOE, Pittsburgh, for the support of this project.

Supporting Information Available: Detailed procedures for the synthesis of Zn-doped p-Fe₂O₃ thin films; UV-vis data for the optimized Zn-doped p-Fe₂O₃ sample; Mott-Schottky plot and direct and indirect band gap plots. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (a) Fujishima, A.; Honda, K. *Nature* 1972, 283, 37. (b) Khan, S. U. M.; Al-Shahry, M.; Ingler, W. B., Jr. *Science* 2002, 27, 2243.
- (2) (a) Komp, R. J. Practical Photovoltaics: Electricity From Solar Cells, Brd ed.; Aatec Publications: Ann Arbor, MI, 1995. (b) Wehrli, C. Extraterrestrial Solar Spectrum; Physikalisch-Meterologisches Observatorium + World Radiation Center (PMO/WRC), 1985. (c) Bird, R. E.;
- (d) Hulstrom, R. L.; Leis, L. J. Sol. Energy 1983, 30, 563.
 (3) Khan, S. U. M.; Zhou, Z. Y. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1993, 357, 407.
 (4) (a) Neri, G.; Bonavita, A.; Rizzo, G.; Galvagno, S.; Donato, N.; Caputi, L. S. Sens. Actuators B 2004, 101, 90. (b) Belousov, V. M.; Vasylyev, M. A.; Lyashenko, L. V.; Vilkova, N. Y.; Nieuwenhuys, B. E. Chem. Eng. J. 2003, 91, 143. (c) Muta, H.; Kurosaki, K.; Uno, M.; Yamanaka, S. J. Alloys Courd 2002, 335, 200. (d) Avab. L. Berzyr, E. L. Bileborrow. S. J. Alloys Compd. 2002, 335, 200. (d) Ayub, I.; Berry, F. J.; Bilsborrow, R. L.; Helgason, O.; Mercader, R. C.; Moore, E. A.; Stewart, S. J.; Wynn, P. G. J. Solid State Chem. 2001, 156, 408.
- (a) Khan, S. U. M.; Akikusa, J. J. Phys. Chem. B 1999, 103, 7184. (b)
 Majumder, S. A.; Khan, S. U. M. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 1994, 19, 881. (c) Cai, S.; Jiang, D.; Tong, R.; Jin, S.; Zhang, J.; Fujishima, A. Cattal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 1991, 36, 1585. (d) Cai, S.; Jiang, D.; Zhang, J.; Tong, R.; Jin, S.; Fujishima, A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 1992, 37, 425. (e) Itoh, K.; Bockris, J. O'M. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1984, 131, 1266.
- (6) (a) Ingler, W. B., Jr.; Khan, S. U. M. Thin Solid Films 2004 461, 301. (b) Leygraf, C.; Hendewerk, M.; Somorjai, G. A. J. Phys. Chem. 1982, 86, 4484. (c) Leygraf, C.; Hendewerk, M.; Somorjai, G. A. J. Solid State Chem. 1983, 48, 357. (d) Somorjai, G. A.; Hendewerk, M.; Turner, J. E. Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 1984, 26, 683. (e) Leygraf, C.; Hendewerk, M.; Somorjai, G. A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 1982, 79, 5739.
- (7) Turner, J. E.; Hendewerk, M.; Parameter, J.; Neiman, D.; Somorjai, G. A. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1984, 131, 1777.
- (8) (a) Li, Y.; Zhao, J.; Jiang, J.; He, X. Mater. Chem. Phys. 2003, 82, 991.
 (b) Li, Y.; Zhao, J.; Jiang, J.; Han, J. Mater. Res. Bull. 2003, 38, 1393.
 (c) Yahiro, H.; Tanaka, H.; Yamamoto, Y.; Kawai, T. Solid State Commun. 2002, 123, 535. (d) Singh, D. J.; Gupta, M.; Gupta, R. Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Mater. Mater. Phys. 2001, 63, 205102/1.
- (a) Wu, Z.; Okuya, M.; Kaneko, S. Thin Solid Films 2001, 385, 109. (b) Yahiro, H.; Tanaka, H.; Yamamoto, Y.; Kawai, T. Solid State Commun.
 2002, 123, 535. (c) Jin, Y.; Li, G.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, L. J.
 Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 2002, 35, L37. (d) Yu, S.-H.; Yoshimura, M. Chem. Mater. 2000, 12, 3805.
- (10) (a) Shinar, R.; Kennedy, J. H. Solar Energy Mater. 1982, 6, 3235. (b) (a) Somorjai, G. A.; Hendewerk, M.; Turner, J. E. Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 1984. 26, 683. (c) del Monte, F.; Morales, M. P.; Levy, D.; Fernandez, A.; Ocaña, M.; Roig, A.; Molins, E.; O'Grady, K.; Serna, C. J. Langmuir **1997**, *13*, 3627. (d) Uekawa, N.; Kaneko, K. J. Phys. Chem. B **1998**, *102*, 8719.

JA048461Y